TRINITY LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
February 1, 2022 at 4:00 p.m.
Trinity County Library Meeting Room
351 Main Street, Weaverville

AGENDA

Due to current case increases of Covid-19, this meeting will be conducted by virtual attendance only in accordance with Government Code Section 54953(e) et seq. (AB 361).

Join Zoom Meeting:
https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87026637302?pwd=L0xyRmozTWdicU1HZVJhNk9PSFprUT09

Meeting ID: 870 2663 7302
Passcode: 061563
Call in: 1 (669) 900-6833

1. CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL
   A. Roll Call
   B. New County Member Appointments

2. PRESENTATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS
   None

3. CONSENT CALENDAR
   A. Approval of Minutes from the December 7, 2021 Commission Meeting
   B. Authorizing Teleconferencing of Meetings in accordance with AB 361

4. PUBLIC COMMENT OPPORTUNITY
   This portion of the meeting provides an opportunity for members of the public to address the Commission on matters not on the agenda, provided that the subject matter is within the jurisdiction of the Commission. No action may be taken on off-agenda items unless authorized by law. All statements that require a response will be referred to staff for reply in writing.

5. PUBLIC HEARINGS
   A. Ruth Lake CSD MSR/SOI Update (Potential Action)

6. NEW BUSINESS
   None

7. OLD BUSINESS
   A. Review Mid-Year Financial Report for Fiscal Year 2021-22 (Potential Action)

8. EXECUTIVE OFFICER’S REPORT/CORRESPONDENCE
   A. Correspondence with California Special Districts Association (CSDA) regarding expanding “health and safety” qualifying events into future Brown Act remote meeting legislation
   B. Expiring Commissioner Terms Update
   C. Submittal of Form 700
The Commission may take action upon any item listed on the agenda. Unless otherwise noted, items may be taken up at any time during the meeting.

All persons are invited to provide public testimony and submit written comments to the Commission. All written materials received by staff before 12:00 p.m. on the day of the meeting will be distributed to the Commission. If you wish to submit written material at the meeting, please supply 10 copies.

Accessibility

The location of this meeting is wheelchair accessible. If other accommodations are required to assist a person with a disability to participate in the meeting, please contact the Executive Officer or Commission Clerk at least 24 hours before the meeting.

Disclosure & Disqualification Requirements

Any person or group of persons acting in concert who directly or indirectly contribute $1,000 or more in support of or in opposition to a change of organization or reorganization that has been submitted to Trinity LAFCo must comply with the disclosure requirements of the Political Reform Act of 1974 applicable to local initiative measures to be submitted to the electorate. These requirements contain provisions for making disclosures of contributions and expenditures at specified intervals; they may be reviewed at Government Code §§56700.1 and 81000 et seq. Additional information about the requirements pertaining to local initiative measures to be presented to the electorate can be obtained by calling the Fair Political Practices Commission at (916) 322-5660.

A LAFCo Commissioner must disqualify herself or himself from voting on an application involving an “entitlement for use” (such as an annexation or sphere amendment) if, within the last twelve months, the Commissioner has received $250 or more in campaign contributions from the applicant, any financially interested person who actively supports or opposes the application, or an agent (such as an attorney, engineer, or planning consultant) representing the applicant or an interested party. The law (Government Code Section 84308) also requires any applicant or other participant in a LAFCo proceeding to disclose the contribution amount and name of the recipient Commissioner on the official record of the proceeding.

Late-Distributed Materials. Any material submitted to the Commission after this agenda is posted will be made available for public inspection as soon as possible on the LAFCo website trinitylafco.org.

Contact LAFCO Staff. For additional information please contact LAFCo staff at colette@trinitylafco.org or kathy@trinitylafco.org.
These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim transcription of the proceedings and discussion associated with the business on the Commission’s agenda; rather, what follows is a summary of the order of business and general nature of testimony, Commission deliberation, and action taken.

1. CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL
   A. Chair Groves called the meeting to order at 4:01 p.m.
      Members present: Commissioners Evan Barrow, Jill Cox, Keith Groves, Andrew Johnson and Joseph Kasper. Alternate Commissioner Pat Frost was also present.
      Members absent: Commissioners Anna Burke and Dan Frasier, Alternate Commissioner Liam Gogan.
      Staff Present: Colette Santsche, Executive Officer, Kathy Bull Administrator/Clerk.

2. PRESENTATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS
   No presentations were presented.

3. CONSENT CALENDAR
   A. Approval of Minutes from the October 19, 2021 Commission Meeting
   B. Approval of 2022 Commission Meeting Schedule

      Motion to approve the consent calendar was presented by Commissioner Kasper, seconded by Commissioner Barrow and passed by the following votes:
      Ayes: Barrow, Groves, Johnson, Kasper
      Noes: None
      Abstain: Cox
      Absent: Burke, Frasier

4. PUBLIC COMMENT OPPORTUNITY
   There were no comments received from the public.

5. PUBLIC HEARINGS
   No public hearings were scheduled.

6. NEW BUSINESS
   A. Authorizing Teleconferencing of Meetings in accordance with AB 361

      There was discussion regarding expanding “health and safety” qualifying events into future Brown Act remote meeting legislation to include inclement weather. The Commission discussed preparing a letter of support. Staff suggested reaching out to the California Special Districts Association (CSDA), who helped author AB 361.

      Motion to approve Resolution 2021-08 and directing staff to research and report back on expanding “health and safety” qualifying events into future Brown Act remote meeting legislation, including a letter of support, was presented by Commissioner Johnson, seconded by Commissioner Kasper and passed by the following votes:
A. Ayes: Barrow, Cox, Groves, Johnson, Kasper
Noes: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Burke, Frasier

B. Expiring Commission Terms in 2022

Ms. Santsche informed the Commission there are annual appointments scheduled at the January 4th Board of Supervisors meeting. Two expiring Commissioner terms for Special Districts include Commissioner Kasper and Alternate Commissioner Frost. Staff will begin the nomination process. Staff will post another notification for the vacant public member seat. This report was received and filed by the chair.

C. Chair and Vice-Chair Selection

Motion to nominate Anna Burke as Vice-Chair was presented by Commissioner Kasper, seconded by Commissioner Barrow and passed by the following votes:
Ayes: Barrow, Cox, Groves, Johnson, Kasper
Noes: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Burke, Frasier

Motion to nominate Keith Groves Chair was presented by Commissioner Barrow, seconded by Commissioner Kasper and passed by the following votes:
Ayes: Barrow, Cox, Groves, Johnson, Kasper
Noes: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Burke, Frasier

D. Workshop – Ruth Lake CSD Draft MSR/SOI Update

Ms. Santsche explained Ruth Lake CSD provides recreational services, campground and boat launching facilities, including small water systems, septic systems and solid waste disposal for the campground locations. The draft update was provided to the Commission for feedback. The Commissioner had questions regarding reducing or keeping the current sphere of influence. It was suggested to edit the explanation of the August Complex fire that had occurred.

7. OLD BUSINESS
   There was no new business to report.

8. EXECUTIVE OFFICER’S REPORT/CORRESPONDENCE
   There were no additional activities to report by the Executive Officer.

9. COMMISSIONERS’ COMMENTS
   Commissioner Cox thanked Colette for her service that was provided. Commissioner Kasper expressed his gratitude to staff. Chair Groves thanked Colette and Kathy as well as other Commissioners.

   Commission Burke was able to attend last couple minutes of the minute and was informed that she had been nominated for Vice-Chair.
10. **ADJOURNMENT**
There being no further business, Chair Groves adjourned the meeting at 4:42 p.m.

ATTEST: _____________________________________________________________________

Kathy Bull  
Administrator/Clerk

DATE: ____________________

APPROVED: ___________________________________________________________________

Keith Groves  
Chair

DATE: ____________________
AGENDA ITEM 3.B.

MEETING: February 1, 2022
TO: Trinity LAFCo Commissioners
FROM: Colette Santsche, Executive Officer & Kathy Bull, Administrator/Clerk
SUBJECT: Authorizing Teleconferencing of Meetings in accordance with AB 361

BACKGROUND

The Legislature approved as an urgency matter AB 361, which was signed by the Governor on September 16, 2021, which amends the Brown Act to allow continued public meetings flexibility following the Governor's Executive Orders expiration. The AB 361 modified meeting rules allowing remote meeting access can only be used in the event there is a Governor issued state of emergency. The Governor's COVID-19 state of emergency satisfies this requirement. If the Commission desires to continue to offer remote meeting access for Commissioners, the Commission will need to formally vote and adopt a resolution at a future meeting finding that the provisions of AB 361 exist. In addition, AB 361 requires the Commission to continually evaluate the COVID-19 emergency and determine if teleconference access at meetings will continue due the circumstances of the state of the emergency and facts related to the potential risks to the health and safety of meeting attendees.

DISCUSSION

AB 361 allows legislative bodies to continue to utilize remote/virtual platforms for meetings during a declared state of emergency by the Governor via Government Code § 54953(e) et seq. Based on the above criteria, the Commission will need to adopt Resolution No. 2022-01 to allow continued remote teleconference meetings. In addition, AB 361 requires the Commission to continually evaluate the COVID-19 emergency and determine if remote teleconference meetings will continue due the circumstances of the state of the emergency and facts related to the potential risks to the health and safety of meeting attendees.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Commission adopt Resolution No. 2022-01, authorizing remote teleconference meetings of the Commission pursuant to the Assembly Bill No. 361, and authorize staff to continue conducting LAFCo meetings using a combination in-person and/or virtual as deemed safe and practical, and return with extension resolutions for the Commission’s consideration for each meeting as warranted. Alternately, the Commission could choose not to adopt the resolution as proposed, thereby returning to in-person public meetings only.

Attachments:
Resolution No. 2022-01

Colette Santsche, Executive Officer/e-mail: colette@trinitylafco.org
Kathy Bull, Clerk Administrator/e-mail: kathy@trinitylafco.org
RESOLUTION NUMBER 2022-01

DECLARING ITS INTENT TO CONTINUE REMOTE TELECONFERENCE MEETINGS DUE TO THE GOVERNOR’S PROCLAMATION OF STATE EMERGENCY AND STATE REGULATIONS RELATED TO PHYSICAL DISTANCING DUE TO THE THREAT OF COVID-19

WHEREAS, the Trinity Local Agency Formation Commission, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," is committed to preserving and promoting public access and participation in meetings of the Commission; and

WHEREAS, all meetings of Commission are open and public, as required by the Ralph M. Brown Act (Cal. Gov. Code 54950 – 54963), so that any member of the public may attend, participate, and observe the Commission conduct its business; and

WHEREAS, the Brown Act, Government Code section 54953(e), makes provisions for remote teleconferencing participation in meetings by members of a legislative body, without compliance with the requirements of Government Code section 54953(b)(3), subject to the existence of certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a required condition is that a state of emergency is declared by the Governor pursuant to Government Code section 8625, proclaiming the existence of conditions of disaster or of extreme peril to the safety of persons and property within the state caused by conditions as described in Government Code section 8558; and

WHEREAS, such conditions now exist in the State, specifically, the Governor of the State of California proclaimed a state of emergency on March 4, 2020, related to the threat of COVID19, which remains in effect; and

WHEREAS, the proliferation of the Delta and Omicron variants of the virus continue to pose imminent risk to health and safety and directly impacts the ability of the public and the Commission to meet safely in person, accordingly, the Commission hereby recognizes the proclamation of state of emergency by the Governor of the State of California and the regulations of Cal/OSHA recommending physical distancing; and

WHEREAS, to allow for physical distancing and remote meeting attendance, the Commission does hereby find that the Commission shall conduct their meetings without compliance with paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of Government Code section 54953, as authorized by subdivision (e) of section 54953, and that the Commission shall comply with the requirements to provide the public with access to the meetings as prescribed in paragraph (2) of subdivision (e) of section 54953.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The Executive Officer is hereby authorized and directed to take all actions necessary to carry out the intent and purpose of this Resolution including, conducting open and public meetings of
the Commission in accordance with Government Code section 54953(e) and other applicable provisions of the Brown Act for remote teleconference meetings.

2. The Executive Officer is hereby directed to continue to monitor the conditions and health and safety conditions related to COVID-19, the status of the Governor’s state of emergency, the local orders related to physical distancing, and the state regulations related to social distancing, and present to the Commission at its next regularly scheduled meeting the related information and recommendations for remote meetings pursuant to the provisions of Government Code section 54953(e)(3) and to extend the time during which the Commission may continue to teleconference without compliance with paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 54953.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced at a public meeting of the Trinity LAFCo Commission on the 1st day of February 2022, and adopted by the following roll call vote:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSTAINS:
ABSENT:

ATTEST: APPROVED:

________________ _____________  _____________________________________
Kathy Bull      Keith Groves
Administrator/Clerk     Chair
AGENDA ITEM 5.A.

MEETING: February 1, 2022
TO: Trinity LAFCo Commissioners
FROM: Colette Santsche, Executive Officer & Kathy Bull, Administrator/Clerk
SUBJECT: Ruth Lake CSD MSR & SOI Update – Public Hearing

BACKGROUND

In accordance with the CKH Act, LAFCos are required to prepare municipal service reviews (MSRs) prior to or in conjunction with its mandate to review and update each local agency’s sphere of influence (SOI) every five years or as needed. The legislative intent of the MSR is to inform the Commission as to the availability, capacity, and efficiency of local governmental services prior to making sphere of influence determinations. Municipal service reviews may also lead LAFCos to take other actions under their authority, such as forming, consolidating, or dissolving one or more local agencies in addition to any related sphere changes.

DISCUSSION

This MSR evaluates services provided by the Ruth Lake Community Services District (CSD). This report incorporates technical information collected and analyzed by LAFCo staff, including information compiled as part of the 2006 MSR. Staff worked closely with Ruth Lake CSD staff to obtain services information for the District. The last MSR/SOI was prepared in 1985.

No change is proposed to the current sphere of influence for Ruth Lake CSD, which generally corresponds to the lease lots and roads along Ruth Lake. All revisions to the MSR since the workshop draft review in December is provided in redline-strikeout. Staff respectfully seeks Commission input with regards to service review content as provided in the MSR

RECOMMENDATION

This item has been agendized for consideration as part of a public hearing. Staff recommends the Commission hold the public hearing, invite testimony, and approve the Ruth Lake CSD MSR/SOI Update (Attachment 1). A draft resolution for the Commission’s review and consideration is enclosed (Attachment 2).

Attachments:
Ruth Lake CSD MSR & SOI Update
Resolution 2022-02
Ruth Lake
Community Services District
Municipal Service Review &
Sphere of Influence Update

Draft
February 2022

Trinity County
Local Agency Formation Commission
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1. INTRODUCTION

This Municipal Service Review (MSR) and Sphere of Influence (SOI) Update was prepared as part of a mandated review of the municipal services of all government entities in the county by the Trinity Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo). This report focuses on the Ruth Lake Community Services District (CSD). The purpose of this study is to assess existing and future public service conditions and to evaluate organizational options for accommodating growth and ensuring critical services are provided efficiently. This MSR presents discussion, analysis, and recommendations regarding services provided by Ruth Lake CSD.

1.1 Trinity LAFCo

Local Agency Formation Commissions (LAFCos) are quasi-legislative, independent local agencies that were established by State legislation in 1963 to oversee the logical and orderly formation and development of local government agencies including cities and special districts. There is one LAFCo for each county in California.

LAFCo is responsible for implementing the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 (California Government Code Section 56000 et. seq.) in order to promote orderly growth, prevent urban sprawl, preserve agricultural and open space lands, and oversee efficient provision of municipal services.

LAFCo has the authority to establish and reorganize cities and special districts, change their boundaries and authorized services, allow the extension of public services, perform municipal service reviews, and establish spheres of influence. Some of LAFCo’s duties include regulating boundary changes through annexations or detachments and forming, consolidating, or dissolving local agencies.

Trinity LAFCo has a public Commission with seven regular Commissioners and three alternate Commissioners. The Commission is composed of three members of the Trinity County Board of Supervisors, two Special District Representatives, and two Public Members-At-Large. The Commission also includes one alternate member for each represented category.

1.2 Community Service District and Principal Act Overview

Community Service Districts are independent special districts governed under CSD law (Government Code § 61000 – 61250). A CSD can be authorized to provide a wide variety of services to unincorporated areas including domestic water, wastewater, garbage collection, security, fire protection, public recreation, street lighting, and many others. CSDs are governed by a board of directors, all of whom are elected at large.

Ruth Lake CSD provides recreation services, including campground maintenance and boat launching. As part of these recreation facilities and services, the District oversees small water systems, coordinates garbage pickup-solid waste disposal, and maintains onsite septic systems and...
outhouses at the marina and campground locations wastewater treatment systems. In 1991, a special election was held to activate fire protection services to support services provided by Southern Trinity Volunteer Fire Company. As such, the District’s current activated powers are parks and recreation, water, wastewater, and solid waste disposal, and fire protection. All other remaining services, facilities, functions or powers enumerated in the District’s principal act but not identified in the formation resolution or later activated are considered “latent,” meaning that they are authorized by the principal act under which the District was formed but are not being exercised. Activation of these latent powers and services requires LAFCo authorization in accordance with Government Code §56824.10 et seq.

1.3 Municipal Service Review Determinations

Government Code §56430 requires LAFCo to conduct a review of municipal services provided in the county by region, sub-region or other designated geographic area, as appropriate, for the service or services to be reviewed, and prepare a written statement of determinations with respect to each of the following topics:

1. Growth and population projections for the affected area;
2. The location and characteristics of any disadvantaged unincorporated communities within or contiguous to the sphere of influence;
3. Present and planned capacity of public facilities, adequacy of public services, and infrastructure needs or deficiencies (including needs or deficiencies related to sewers, municipal and industrial water, and structural fire protection in any disadvantaged, unincorporated communities within or contiguous to the sphere of influence);
4. Financial ability of agencies to provide services;
5. Status of, and opportunities for, shared facilities;
6. Accountability for community service needs, including governmental structure and operational efficiencies; and
7. Any other matter affecting or related to effective or efficient service delivery, as required by Commission policy.

State Guidelines and Commission policies encourage stakeholder cooperation in the municipal service review process. It also provides a basis to evaluate, and make changes to Spheres of Influence, if appropriate.

1.4 Sphere of Influence Determinations

A Sphere of Influence (SOI) is a LAFCo-approved plan that designates an agency’s probable physical boundary and service area. Spheres are planning tools used to provide guidance for individual boundary change proposals and are intended to encourage efficient provision of organized community services, discourage urban sprawl and premature conversion of agricultural and open space lands, and prevent overlapping jurisdictions and duplication of services.
LAFCo is required to establish SOIs for all local agencies and enact policies to promote the logical and orderly development of areas within the SOIs. Furthermore, LAFCo must update those SOIs every five years or as needed. For a SOI update, LAFCo is required to conduct an MSR and adopt related determinations. It must also make the following SOI determinations:

1. The present and planned land uses in the area, including agricultural and open-space lands;
2. The present and probable need for public facilities and services in the area;
3. The present capacity of public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide;
4. The existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the Commission determines that they are relevant to the agency; and
5. The present and probable need for public facilities and services related to sewers, municipal or industrial water, or structural fire protection of any disadvantaged unincorporated communities within the existing sphere of influence (effective July 1, 2012).

1.5 Review Methods

The following information was considered in the development of this service review:

- Agency-specific data: responses to LAFCo Requests for Information
- Demographic data: U.S. Census Bureau; Department of Finance; CA Water Resources Board
- Finances: budgets, audits, rates and fees; and
- Other Reports: State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Drinking Water.

Information gathered was analyzed and applied to make the required determinations. All information gathered for this report is filed by LAFCo for future reference.

1.6 California Environmental Quality Act

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) is contained in Public Resources Code § 21000 et seq. Public agencies are required to evaluate the potential environmental effects of their actions. MSRs are statutorily exempt from CEQA pursuant to §15262 (feasibility or planning studies) and categorically exempt pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15306 (information collection). CEQA requirements are applicable to SOI Updates. The CEQA lead agency for SOI Updates is most often LAFCo, unless an agency has initiated an SOI expansion or update.
2. AGENCY OVERVIEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Ruth Lake CSD Agency Profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Office Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Governance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Services</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areas Served</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 Formation

The Ruth Lake CSD was created under Government Code 61000 et seq. in 1966 (Board of Supervisors Resolution 34-66). According to the formation documents, the district was formed for the purpose of providing water, wastewater, solid waste disposal, recreation, and mosquito
abatement services to area residents. This included administering certain lands for recreational subleases and managing boat launching, camping, and other recreational facilities. Based on a Master Lease Agreement between the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, the primary owner of land that surrounds Ruth Lake, and Trinity County. Upon formation, Trinity County assigned the lease to Ruth Lake CSD on behalf of Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District which is the primary landowner of the lake and surrounding areas.

To date, mosquito abatement services have not been exercised by the District and are therefore considered a latent power. In 1991, a special election was held to activate fire protection services to support services provided by Southern Trinity Volunteer Fire Company. In 2015 there was an effort to activate ambulance services for the District to support services provided by the Southern Trinity Area Rescue (STAR). However, the application was not completed or approved by LAFCo so this also remains a latent power for the District.

2.2 Boundary and Sphere

The District is located along Highway 36 and Mad River Road in Trinity County, within the Mad River watershed (Figure 1). The Ruth Lake CSD covers approximately 336,000 acres (525 square miles) in both Trinity and Humboldt counties. The original boundary was set to match the Mad River Joint School District and Hetten Valley School District, now known as Southern Trinity Joint Unified School District, excluding any lands lying outside Trinity County.

In 1985, a reduced Sphere of Influence (SOI) was adopted for the District that is substantially smaller than the jurisdictional boundary. It is likely the reduced SOI was set to include only those areas directly managed by the District. The current SOI is approximately 25,600 acres (40 square miles) and includes the area around Ruth Lake and extends northwest into Humboldt County.

2.3 August Complex Fire

In 2020 the August Complex Fire was started by a series of lighting strikes in wilderness areas around the Mendocino National Forest. Due to drier than normal precipitation patterns and higher than normal temperatures coupled with the remoteness of the locations, Due to the region’s rugged topography and dense fuel loads combined with high fire risk during dry summer months, the fires eventually grew together and burned a substantial amount of forested lands into what was coined a “gigafire” – a term for a blaze that burns at least a million acres of land and a level above the “megafire,” which burns more than 100,000 acres. By the time the fire was contained in November, it had burned over 1 million acres across five counties. There are indications that the level of risk could continue to grow in the face of climate change, increasing

---

1 Trinity County, Board of Supervisors, Resolution No. 34-66 Relating to the Formation of Ruth Lake Community Services District. June 20, 1966.
fuel loads, the spread of forest diseases such as sudden oak death, and continued residential and commercial development in the wildland urban interface (WUI).

The northern border of the fire reached Ruth Lake and burned large areas of forested lands on the northern and southern edge of the lake. In addition to loss of forested lands, many structures around the lake were also lost and there was damage to the District’s campground and dock facilities. The District, Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District, the County, and the United States Forest Service have since been working on disaster recovery in the area. Through a CAL FIRE Forest Health Grant, the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District also partnered with the Watershed Training Center in Hayfork to implement a reforestation project for areas devastated by the August Complex fires at Ruth Lake and the Mad River Watershed. The project is geared toward large forested area health, including reforestation and fuel reduction. A minimum of 800 impacted acres will qualify. In addition, all lease lot holder requests for rebuilding after the fire disaster must be approved by Ruth Lake CSD and the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District.
Figure 1: Ruth Lake CSD Boundary and SOI
3. GOVERNMENT STRUCTURE

3.1 Governing Body

Ruth Lake CSD is governed by a five-member Board of Directors, elected at large by District residents to serve staggered four-year terms (Table 2). The Board meets on the 2nd Tuesday of every month at 4PM in the Community Hall. Meeting dates and agendas are posted at least 72 hours prior to meetings at the District Office and are available on the District’s website. Board members receive a $50 stipend for attending board meetings.

Table 1: Ruth Lake CSD Board of Directors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board Member</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Term Expiration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ed Johnson</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>December 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Nicholson</td>
<td>Vice Chair</td>
<td>December 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra Sellman</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>December 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan Emery</td>
<td>Board Chair</td>
<td>December 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Gordon</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>December 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Management & Staffing

The District maintains a small staff that manages operations for the District including the marina and campgrounds. There is a General Manager and Office Manager that oversee the day-to-day operations of the District and manages sublease holder agreements around the lake. Additional staff includes a Marina Manager, Campground Store Manager, several seasonal camp hosts, a part-time year round maintenance person, and other part-time positions to assist recreational activities.

3.3 Transparency and Accountability

The District maintains a website at www.ruthlakecsd.org, which is a helpful communication tool to enhance government transparency and accountability. The website provides service-related information, archived meeting agendas and minutes, and audited financial statements but does not currently include adopted annual budgets or board policy information.

SB 929 (McGuire) was signed into law on September 14, 2018 requiring all independent special districts to have and maintain a website by January 1, 2020, meeting all the special district transparency requirements of State law including the availability of agendas, policies, and financial information. It is recommended the District reassess their website to make sure they are in compliance with SB 929.
The Political Reform Act requires all state and local government agencies to adopt and promulgate a Conflict of Interest Code pursuant to Government Code §81000 et seq. The Political Reform Act also requires persons who hold office to disclose their investments, interests in real property, and incomes by filing a Statement of Economic Interests (Fair Political Practices Commission Form 700) each year pursuant to Government Code §87203.

According to AB 1234, if a local agency provides compensation or reimbursement of expenses to local government officials, then all local officials are required to receive two hours of training on public service ethics laws and principles at least once every two years and establish a written policy on reimbursements pursuant to Government Code §53235. In addition, AB 1661 went into effect in 2016 and addresses local government sexual harassment prevention training and education.
4. SERVICES & INFRASTRUCTURE

4.1 Services Provided

Ruth Lake CSD primarily provides recreation services to the Ruth Lake area. This includes management of campgrounds, subleases for recreational areas, and managing the Ruth Lake Marina.

Camping and Day Use

The District maintains and operates eight (8) day use areas and four (4) campgrounds around the lake as shown in Figure 2 below. Facilities range from primitive sites without water or restrooms to RV sites with full hookups for extended stays, flush toilets, to campground facilities with toilets and showers. Campgrounds are typically open from late spring to late fall to accommodate hunting activities in the surrounding mountains. Campground reservations can be made for the operational season by calling the District office-Ruth Rec Campground Store/office and typically become available beginning in January-March of the same year.
Almost all camping and day use facilities provide direct access to the lake and can be reached by vehicle. Other amenities, such as a small convenience store and kayak and boat rentals are also available seasonally based on staffing levels.

**Sub-Leases**

The District leases the land surrounding Ruth Lake from the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District based on a Master Lease Agreement between HBMWD and Trinity County. **Trinity County has assigned the lease to Ruth Lake CSD.** The original 39 year master lease agreement was executed in June 1964 and allowed for six ten-year extensions for a total of 99 years. The master lease is due to be extended in May 2023 for another ten years. The lease allows the district to maintain and operate boat launching facilities (the marina) and other recreational facilities including the camping and day-use areas. The master lease also allows the District to sublease parcels of land for recreational purposes to private individuals. Use of sublease parcels for residential purposes is prohibited by the master lease agreement and District policy.

There are a total of 172 subleases which are managed according to District policy. Most lots are accessible by vehicle, but some are only accessible by boat. Subleases must adhere to rules.
regulating the use of private docks, onsite wastewater treatment systems (septic systems)⁶, utilities, insurance requirements, and other regulations as set forth by the District. Any proposed development or change to sublease properties must first be approved by the District to ensure conformance with set policies. Subleases may also be transferred from one party to another with District approval⁷.

**Figure 3: HBMWD Property Boundaries around Ruth Lake**

![Image of Ruth Lake property boundaries]

**Ruth Lake Marina**

The Ruth Lake Marina is located on the northern shore of the lake along Lower Mad River Road. It is typically open from April through October and includes wet storage slips, boat ramp, public dock, bait and tackle store, fueling station, restrooms, picnic tables, and beach access⁸. There are a total of 55 slips that can be rented out seasonally for private use.

To help prevent the spread of aquatic invasive species such as zebra and quagga mussels, every watercraft that enters Ruth Lake must be inspected before launching. Once inspected, the vessel receives a sticker indicating it has been inspected for the current year. When trailered boats are removed from the lake, they are inspected and banded. Should the boat be launched again, the band indicates the last body of water it was in and that it was inspected for invasive species upon removal⁹. According to district staff, past studies have shown the chance of quagga and zebra mussels surviving in Ruth Lake are very low due to the lake’s pH level.

---

⁶ Policy 6220 states that lease holders must have an approved system in place before the property can be used. It also states that the County will only consider a permit after initial approval has been received from HBMWD and RLCS.

⁷ District policies related to subleases can be found on the District’s website at [https://www.ruthlakecsd.org/leaseholder-downloads-documents/](https://www.ruthlakecsd.org/leaseholder-downloads-documents/).

⁸ Ruth Lake CSD, General Marina Information.

Water

The District maintains several wells that supply water to the marina and several of the campgrounds. Water that is untreated is posted as non-potable. The marina and Ruth Recreation Area campground provide potable water to users and there is no additional fee for water use. The recreation area campground and marina systems are considered transient public water systems by the State Water Resources Control Board while the other systems are not considered public.

The Ruth Lake Marina is served by two wells located in the marina parking lot and accessed by maintenance covers. Well water is pumped through a three stage filter process and then chlorinated before being stored in a 2,500 gallon tank. The combined pumping capacity of the two wells is approximately 40 gallons per minute (gpm) with a maximum production of 57,600 gallons per day. However, Well No. 01 is only used as a backup source in the event Well No.02 is offline. The maximum demand during 2020 was 38,113 gallons in a single month with a peak single day demand of 2,459 gallons at a maximum of 1.7gpm. Based on average production of one well (20gpm), there is more than adequate supply to meet current demand at the marina10.

The Ruth Lake Rec Area (Campground) is served by two wells. One is located in the treatment building and serves as the primary water supply. The second is a lake well that terminates below the highwater water level of Ruth Lake. It reportedly consists of 300 feet of well casing that is buried at a minimum depth of 25 feet beneath the lake bed and is therefore directly influenced by lake water. Well water is pumped through a filtration system and chlorinated before storage in two 5,000 gallon water tanks. The treatment system can currently only be used for the primary well and is not permitted for the lake well. In the event the lake well will be utilized, the District must either obtain a new permit for the treatment system or issue a boil water notice to users11.

The actual pumping capacity of the primary well is unknown. However, it is estimated to pump at approximately 18gpm. The well serves the campground’s 85 sites by way of approximately 13 faucets (hose bibs) and two restrooms. It also provides water to the Ruth Lake CSD office and the camp host. During 2021 the approximate water demand for the system was 558,000 gallons. The District has indicated that during peak summer months the primary well is unable to meet peak demand due to a severe drop in the well water level. In the event this occurs, the campground would activate the lake well to meet demand12. Based on the potential for inadequate potable water supply during peak summer months, the District is encouraged to work with the SWRCB to obtain a new permit for the lake well so that it can be used without a boil water notice when necessary.

Both the marina and campground water systems require a licensed water operator. Currently, there are no District staff with the necessary classification to operate either system. The District utilizes an outside contractor to maintain the systems and conducted required inspections and water sampling.

Separate from the District’s water systems, the sublease holders located around the lake obtain water through various avenues. Several of the sublease lots are able to obtain water directly from Ruth Lake reservoir\textsuperscript{13}. As part of the recreational sublease agreement, a sublease holder may withdraw up to 240 gallons per day. In order to obtain a lake water use permit from Ruth Lake CSD, an application must be submitted showing the proposed delivery and storage system, terms and conditions of the permit, technical specifications, proposed filtration and disinfection system, and an application of approval of water system from Trinity County. The right to withdraw water from the lake may be terminated by HBMWD and/or Ruth Lake at any time for any reason including a sublease holders’ unwillingness to comply with the conditions of their permit\textsuperscript{14}. As part of this process, Ruth Lake CSD also acts as an agent through which sublease holders obtain documented riparian water rights from the State\textsuperscript{15}. Other lots obtain water by way of springs, wells, or bottled water as needed. The District also assists subleases with obtaining riparian water rights for their summer homes.

Many seasonal dwellings were lost in the August Complex fire. Trinity County Environmental Health, which is the permitting agency for wells and onsite wastewater (septic) systems in the area, has sent out a potable water information form to sublease holders that lost their summer homes. The intent is to find out where they were previously obtaining their water from and how they intend to obtain water in the future\textsuperscript{16}.

**Wastewater**

Wastewater is typically disposed of with individual onsite wastewater treatment systems (septic systems) in onsite wastewater treatment systems. The District is responsible for maintenance and regular pumping of campground and marina facilities. However, sublease owners are responsible for operation and maintenance of their individual system.

According to Ruth Lake CSD policy, no sublease site can be used until an approved wastewater system is in place. Additionally, a permit must be obtained from Trinity County Environmental Health\textsuperscript{17}. Based on prior issues found in the area, the County is now requiring extra steps to ensure systems are fully functioning before a permit is issued. This is in an effort to ensure there is no lake contamination from inadequate or failing wastewater systems\textsuperscript{18}.

Based on Ruth Lake CSD’s approval authority and potential issues from inadequate and/or unpermitted wastewater systems around the lake, there appears to be a need for further oversight of these systems. An onsite wastewater treatment system inspection program would ensure that all sublease holders have adequate access to disposal facilities on their lot and would also limit the...
potential for lake contamination. Regular inspection of these systems and early prevention measures can help ensure Ruth Lake is protected from costly and dangerous fecal coliform contamination issues. The District is strongly encouraged to investigate the potential to develop and implement such a program. These are typically permitted though the County to ensure compliance with current regulations.

**Garbage Disposal**

Since Ruth Lake is a recreation area, visitors often bring in a large amount of goods in some sort of disposable packaging. This can include food, beverages, camping gear, fishing gear, disposal disposable plates and utensils, and any number of other items. This creates a large among of garbage that must be secured to help protect wildlife, the surrounding environment, and visitors.

The District rents obtains several large dumpsters from Trinity County Solid Waste for use at the campgrounds and the marina. There is one dumpster that is specifically for lease holders and requires a key obtained from the District. However, based on the amount of use, there is not enough space to accommodate the waste that is generated around the lake. The District frequently has to transport garbage to the transfer station in Ruth on Sundays and Tuesdays during the peak summer season.

Trinity County Solid Waste has limited capacity to provide additional solid waste services to the area. As such, the District may want to implement a public information campaign on ways to reduce waste while recreating. This could include bringing plates and utensils that can be washed and reused, prepping food and beverages at home in reusable containers, using rental facilities for boats and rafts rather than purchasing low quality items that may break easily, and other waste reducing tips.

### 4.2 Other Service Providers

Trinity County provides general governmental services including social services, emergency services, planning, county roads, parks, and other services to areas within the County. Law Enforcement is provided by the Trinity County Sheriff’s Office. The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) is responsible for the condition of Highway 36 that provides access to Ruth Lake CSD via Lower Mad River Road.

- **Fire Protection, EMS/Rescue, and Ambulance Services**

Fire protection and emergency response services for the Ruth Lake area are provided by the Southern Trinity Volunteer Fire Department (STVFD) and Southern Trinity Area Rescue (STAR) which serve the eastern Humboldt/southern Trinity areas. STVFD is staffed entirely by volunteers and maintains a station in Mad River approximately seven miles from the Ruth Lake dam, and a second station in Ruth approximately four miles the southeaster extent of Ruth Lake. The Mad River Station is owned by the STVFD and the Ruth Station is a lease lot with no charge. STAR also

---

operates out of Mad River and Ruth with a total of two ambulances that are staffed by EMT-1 and Paramedic level emergency personnel.

Both agencies have limited funding opportunities due to typical land use in the area which is largely federally owned USFS lands utilized for recreation. As such, funding generally comes from community donations. Ruth Lake CSD typically provides an annual donation to both STVFD and STAR as long as budget allows. In the past two fiscal years, this has been very limited due to impacts from Covid-19 and the August Complex Fire.

In an effort to provide continued support to these important fire and emergency service providers, the District may want to consider a more formal agreement, which may include the activation of latent services for fire, rescue and EMS/ambulance services. The STVFD may also benefit from forming a fire protection district that is supported by revenue from a combination of taxes, fees, grants, and fundraising. Being within a fire district has certain landowner benefits including obtaining/maintaining fire insurance and the ability to subdivide parcels. In addition, fire districts are eligible to apply for certain grant funding only available to local governmental agencies. It is recommended that a stakeholder group be established with members from the affected agencies, the County, and the Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District to explore options for providing long term and financially sustainable emergency services to the area.
5. FINANCING

5.1 Revenues and Expenses
Ruth Lake CSD’s primary revenue sources are user fees from the campground and marina facilities which accounts for approximately 75 percent of the District’s total income. Additional revenue comes from lease fees, solid waste fees from the leaseholders that is passed onto the County disposal, grants, and other sources as indicated in the District’s annual budget. District expenses include payroll, donations to STVFD and STAR, utilities, solid waste fees and numerous others. Payroll is the largest category and accounts for approximately 58 percent of total expenses. Anticipated revenues for FY 2020-21 decreased by almost $60,000 due to campground closures caused by Covid-19. Table 2 below provides an overview of adopted budgets for the last three fiscal years.

Based on the provided budgets, the District is able to plan for a surplus of income every year. This surplus, if achieved, can help build up reserves that can be used to fund major capital improvement projects. However, actual revenues and expenses can vary greatly based on the number of visitors to the area each year.

Figure 4: Ruth Lake CSD FY2021-22 Revenues by Category
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Table 2: Ruth Lake CSD Budget Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2019-20</th>
<th>FY 2020-21</th>
<th>FY 2021-22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Lease Fees</td>
<td>$162,050</td>
<td>$176,850</td>
<td>$176,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marina Income</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$310,000</td>
<td>$360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste</td>
<td>$16,200</td>
<td>$16,200</td>
<td>$16,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campground Income</td>
<td>$270,000</td>
<td>$230,000</td>
<td>$265,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Income</td>
<td>$10,515</td>
<td>$8,140</td>
<td>$8,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$808,765</td>
<td>$749,190</td>
<td>$834,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Goods Sold</td>
<td>$113,800</td>
<td>$95,000</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankcard Merchant Fees</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STVFD</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAR</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Supplies</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll Expenses</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>$375,000</td>
<td>$375,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Fees</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Expenditures</td>
<td>$102,800</td>
<td>$97,150</td>
<td>$92,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td>$785,600</td>
<td>$718,150</td>
<td>$767,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Income/ (Loss)</strong></td>
<td>$23,165</td>
<td>$31,040</td>
<td>$67,490</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Audit Information

The District conducts audits on an annual basis through a certified public account. Table 3 below provides a summary of the last three years’ worth of audits. For the last three years, operating revenue has exceeded operating expenses. However, the surplus has continually been less than the annual depreciation of major capital assets, predominantly for the marina and district campgrounds, which has led to an overall decrease in the District’s net position. As can be seen in Table 4, while liabilities remain low, the net position continues to decrease over time.
Table 3: Ruth Lake CSD Audit Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY2017-18</th>
<th>FY2018-19</th>
<th>FY2019-20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campground</td>
<td>$284,465</td>
<td>$272,884</td>
<td>$227,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marina</td>
<td>$363,260</td>
<td>$349,411</td>
<td>$286,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lease</td>
<td>$156,225</td>
<td>$166,833</td>
<td>$178,442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants</td>
<td>$915</td>
<td>$43,425</td>
<td>$7,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste</td>
<td>$17,100</td>
<td>$16,100</td>
<td>$16,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>$6,185</td>
<td>$6,257</td>
<td>$5,893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water fees (lease)</td>
<td>$3,170</td>
<td>$2,520</td>
<td>$2,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment fees</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>$3,496</td>
<td>$10,164</td>
<td>$2,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Goods Sold</td>
<td>($110,035)</td>
<td>($111,190)</td>
<td>($97,272)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Revenue</strong></td>
<td>$725,881</td>
<td>$757,204</td>
<td>$629,869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Card Fees</td>
<td>$16,008</td>
<td>$14,514</td>
<td>$14,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll</td>
<td>$350,396</td>
<td>$400,540</td>
<td>$301,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Fees</td>
<td>$33,896</td>
<td>$46,138</td>
<td>$38,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>$57,453</td>
<td>$28,753</td>
<td>$21,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$130,429</td>
<td>$184,489</td>
<td>$185,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Expenses</strong></td>
<td>$618,182</td>
<td>$704,434</td>
<td>$582,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>($118,745)</td>
<td>($129,911)</td>
<td>($138,067)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Non-Operating Income</td>
<td>$128</td>
<td>$131</td>
<td>$279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Net Position</td>
<td>($10,918)</td>
<td>($77,010)</td>
<td>($90,564)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Ruth Lake CSD Net Position Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY2017-18</th>
<th>FY2018-19</th>
<th>FY2019-20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>$2,003,702</td>
<td>$1,930,043</td>
<td>$1,834,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities</td>
<td>$2,367</td>
<td>$5,718</td>
<td>$1,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Position</td>
<td>$2,001,335</td>
<td>$1,924,325</td>
<td>$1,833,762</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Audits for the three fiscal years shown were conducted by Aycock and Edgmon Certified Public Accountants from Ferndale, CA.
6. GROWTH AND POPULATION

6.1 Land Use

The Trinity County General Plan (2003) and Zoning Code guides land use decisions within Trinity County. The area served by Ruth Lake CSD is largely Resource, Rural Residential Low Density, and Agricultural. (Figure 4). Ruth Lake Marina and several campgrounds are located along the northeast side of Ruth Lake reservoir, impounded by R.W. Matthews Dam owned by HBMWD. A portion of the District to the west extends into Humboldt County on the northern side of Highway 36. Land use in this area is established by the Humboldt County General Plan and is primarily Residential Agriculture, Agricultural Grazing, and Public Lands with some Timberland and an Airport Overlay around the Dinsmore airstrip.

HBMWD owns much of the property surrounding the lake as well as the R.W. Matthews Dam that creates the Ruth Lake reservoir on the Mad River and the Gosselin Hydro-Electric Power House associated with the dam\(^2\). The forested areas surrounding the lake are predominantly owned by the United States Forest Service with some private land holdings.

6.2 Existing Population and Projected Growth

According to the US Census Bureau Decennial Census data, the total population in Trinity County was 13,786 in 2010 and 16,112 in 2020. This suggests an annual growth rate of approximately 1.57%. However, the Trinity County Housing Element reports limited to no growth over the last 10 years and anticipates a small decline in population over the next decade\(^2\). At this time it is unknown how the Covid-19 pandemic and recent wildfire activity will affect overall population in the County. For the purposes of this MSR, a zero growth rate will be assumed for the District area as it is primarily a recreational area with limited year round residents.

Within the District boundary is the Ruth Census Designated Place (CDP) which has a 2020 population estimate of 254 and Mad River CDP which has a 2020 estimate of 361. The District boundary is much larger than these two CDPs and likely has a population greater than the total of both (615). However, these two areas likely contain the bulk of the population in the area as much of the District is comprised of sparsely inhabited forested lands. It is not anticipated that the population of the District will grow substantially in the next five to seven years.

\(^{21}\) Trinity County Hazard Mitigation Plan 2015
Figure 5: Ruth Lake CSD Land Use Map
6.3 Disadvantaged Unincorporated Communities

LAFCo is required to evaluate water service, sewer service, and structural fire protection within disadvantaged unincorporated communities as part of service reviews, including the location and characteristics of any such communities. A disadvantaged unincorporated community (DUC) is defined as any area with 12 or more registered voters where the annual median household income (MHI) is less than 80 percent of the statewide annual MHI (pursuant to Government Code Section 56033.5 and Water Code Section 79505.5). Within a DUC, three basic services are evaluated: water, sewage, and fire protection. Currently, Ruth Lake CSD does not provide residential services as their primary purpose is the provision of recreational amenities on and around Ruth Lake.

Even though the CSD is not responsible for residential services, the presence of DUCs in the area should still be discussed. According to the 2015-2019 American Community Survey 5-year estimates, the MHI is $30,208 for the Ruth CDP, and $25,400 for Mad River CDP which is 40% and 34%, respectively, of the state average of $75,235. This qualifies the communities as disadvantaged. It is likely that the surrounding areas can also be considered disadvantaged.
7. MUNICIPAL SERVICE REVIEW DETERMINATIONS

This section addresses the requirements of the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 (California Government Code Section 56430). As part of the municipal service review process, LAFCo makes the following written determinations.

1) Growth and population projections

Ruth Lake CSD was created to provide recreation services, including boat launching and campground maintenance to the community of Ruth and surrounding areas along the Mad River and Ruth Lake. As such, there is a limited year-round population in the area. Based on 2020 census data the area has an estimated population of approximately 700 and it is unlikely the area will see much growth in the next five to ten years.

2) The location and characteristics of any disadvantaged unincorporated communities within or contiguous to the Sphere of Influence

The communities of Ruth and Mad River have MHIs that are 40 and 34 percent of the State MHI, respectively. This qualifies them as DUCs and indicates that much of the surrounding area can also be considered disadvantaged.

3) Present and planned capacity of public facilities and adequacy of public services, including infrastructure needs or deficiencies

Some of the District’s infrastructure was damaged during the 2020 August Complex Fire. This includes water distribution system for campgrounds around the lake. The District is continuing to work towards full repair of all systems and facilities. Based on pre-fire activities, there is adequate capacity to meet most service needs apart from solid waste disposal. However, there does appear to be a need for additional oversight of onsite wastewater systems. The District is encouraged to investigate the potential to develop and implement a regular inspection program. There also appears to be a need for additional potable water supply to support campground activities. The District is encouraged to work with the SWRCB to obtain a permit for their lake well at this location.

4) Financial ability of agencies to provide services

Based on financial audits for fiscal years 2017-18 to 2019-20, the District is able to maintain operating revenues that exceed operating expenditures. However, this surplus is not enough to cover depreciation of assets leading to a decrease in the District’s overall net position.

5) Status of, and opportunities for, shared facilities

There may be an opportunity for the District to aid in the provision of fire and emergency response services. The District is encouraged to continue to work with other service providers including STVFD and STAR on a plan for long term provision and financial mechanisms to support fire and emergency response services in the area.
6) **Accountability for community service needs, including governmental structure and operational efficiencies**

The District is overseen by a five-member Board of Directors that is elected to staggered four-year terms. Agendas are posted at the District office and on their website at least 72 hours in advance of meetings. Additional information about the District, including policies regulating recreational leases, is also available on the District’s website.

7) **Any other matter related to effective or efficient service delivery, as required by commission policy**

Currently the District’s sphere of influence is substantially smaller than the jurisdictional boundary. It is likely the reduced SOI was set to include only those areas directly managed by the District. The current SOI is approximately 25,600 acres (40 square miles) and includes the area around Ruth Lake and extends northwest into Humboldt County. **No change to the SOI is proposed at this time.**
8. SPHERE OF INFLUENCE DETERMINATIONS

1) Present and planned land uses in the area, including agricultural and open-space lands. Land use within the District is predominantly Resource, Residential Low Density, and Agricultural with other residential and public facility uses. The predominant uses in the area are timberland management and recreation.

2) Present and probable need for public facilities and services in the area. Ruth Lake continues to be a popular recreation area and sees a great influx of activity during the summer and fall months. Based on the landholdings of HBMWD, the need to manage lease holdings around the lake, and the annual influx of visitors, it is anticipated that services will be needed in the area for the foreseeable future.

3) Present capacity of public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide. The District currently has enough capacity to accommodate the recreational needs of visitors to the area. However, there appears to be limited capacity to accommodate additional solid waste. The District may want to work with Trinity County Solid Waste to implement a public information campaign on reducing waste while recreating.

4) Existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the commission determines that they are relevant to the agency. The small communities of Ruth and Mad River both reside within the boundary of the District. The nearest larger community with services such as grocery shopping, medical care, and other amenities is the City of Fortuna to the west which is approximately 90 minutes from the Ruth Lake Marina.

5) For an update of a sphere of influence of a city or special district that provides public facilities or services related to sewers, municipal and industrial water, or structural fire protection, the present and probable need for those public facilities and services of any disadvantaged unincorporated communities within the existing sphere. The District does not currently provide community water or wastewater services and fire protection services are provided by STVFD, residential water, sewer, or fire protection services. However, the area can be considered disadvantaged and is in need of could benefit from reliable funding mechanisms to support emergency response expanded services. It is recommended that the District work with other local, state, and federal agencies and service providers on a plan for the sustainable provision of emergency response services and funding mechanisms in the area.
RESOLUTION NO. 2022-02

APPROVING THE RUTH LAKE COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT MUNICIPAL SERVICE REVIEW AND SPHERE OF INFLUENCE UPDATE

WHEREAS, the Trinity Local Agency Formation Commission, hereinafter referred to as the “Commission”, is authorized to conduct municipal service reviews and establish, amend, and update spheres of influence for local governmental agencies whose jurisdictions are within Trinity County; and

WHEREAS, the Commission conducted a municipal service review to evaluate the availability, capacity and efficiency of services provided by the Ruth Lake Community Services District, hereinafter referred to as the “District”, pursuant to California Government Code Section 56430; and

WHEREAS, the Commission conducted a sphere of influence review for the District pursuant to California Government Code Section 56425; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Officer gave sufficient notice of a public hearing to be conducted by the Commission in the form and manner provided by law; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Officer’s report and recommendations on the municipal service review and sphere of influence update were presented to the Commission in the form and manner prescribed by law; and

WHEREAS, the Commission heard and fully considered all the evidence presented at a public hearing held on the municipal service review and sphere of influence update on February 1, 2022; and

WHEREAS, the Commission considered all the factors required under California Government Code Section 56430 and 56425.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The Commission, as Lead Agency, finds the municipal service review is exempt from further review under the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Title 14 California Code of Regulations Section 15306. This finding is based on the use of the municipal service review as a data collection and service evaluation study. The information contained within the municipal service review may be used to consider future actions that will be subject to additional environmental review.

2. The Commission, as Lead Agency, finds the sphere of influence update is exempt from further review under the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Title 14 California Code of Regulations Section 15061(b)(3). This finding is based on the Commission determining with certainty the update will have no possibility of significantly effecting the environment given no new land use or municipal service authority is granted.
3. This municipal service review and sphere of influence update is assigned the following distinctive short-term designation: “Ruth Lake CSD MSR/SOI Update 2022”.

4. Pursuant to Government Code Section 56430(a), the Commission makes the written statement of determinations included in the municipal service review, hereby incorporated by reference.

5. Pursuant to Government Code Section 56425(e), the Commission makes the written statement of determinations included in the sphere of influence update, hereby incorporated by reference.

6. Pursuant to Government Code Section 56425(i), the Commission does hereby establish the functions and classes of services provided by Ruth Lake CSD as follows: parks and recreation (marina and campgrounds), water (marina and campground water systems), wastewater (marina and campground septic systems and outhouses), solid waste disposal (garbage pickup and solid waste fees collection), and fire protection services (fiscal support to Southern Trinity Volunteer Fire Department).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Commission that:

The Ruth Lake CSD MSR/SOI Update 2022 is hereby approved, with no change to the sphere of influence for the District which remains smaller than the District Boundary to generally follow roads and lease holdings surrounding Ruth Lake, as depicted in Exhibit “A”, attached hereto.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a public hearing of the Trinity Local Agency Formation Commission on the 1st day of February 2022, by the following roll call vote:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSTAINS:
ABSENT:

ATTEST:                        APPROVED:

__________________________  __________________________
Kathy Bull     Keith Groves
Administrator/Clerk    Chair
AGENDA ITEM 7.A.

MEETING: February 1, 2022
TO: Trinity LAFCo Commissioners
FROM: Colette Santsche, Executive Officer & Kathy Bull, Administrator/Clerk
SUBJECT: Mid-Year Financial Report for Fiscal Year 2021-22

BACKGROUND

LAFCo operating costs are annually funded by Trinity County (1/2) and special districts (1/2). Special District apportionments are proportional to each agency’s total revenues as a percentage of the overall revenue amount collected. The apportionment funds are collected by the Trinity County Auditor.

DISCUSSION

Trinity LAFCo’s adopted FY 2021-22 budget for staffing and services/supplies totaled $49,900. Budgeted revenues from intergovernmental contributions and fund balance carryover also totaled $49,900.

Mid-year actuals are provided in the Budget Attachment. With regard to revenues, the county and majority of independent special districts allocations have been collected by the Auditor. With regard to actual expenses, all costs are on track to be at or under budget at year end.

At the April meeting, staff will bring a Proposed Budget for FY 2022-23, with final budget adoption at the Commission’s June meeting.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Commission receive and file this report. The Commission is invited to discuss the item and provide direction to staff as needed.

Attachments:
FY 2021-22 Mid-Year Budget Report
# Trinity LAFCo Budget

**Fund No. 270-0100**  
**Department No. 8270**

## REVENUES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>6601</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,671</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAFCo Fees (Applications)</td>
<td>8404</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,216</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>2,925</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cont From Other Agencies:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>9282</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indep Spec Districts</td>
<td>9285</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>13,450</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>10,494</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>16,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$28,000</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
<td>$30,337</td>
<td>$33,000</td>
<td>$27,962</td>
<td>$33,000</td>
<td>$30,965</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## EXPENDITURES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Memberships</td>
<td>2240</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,075</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>2260</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional &amp; Specialized:</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>40,800</td>
<td>40,800</td>
<td>39,837</td>
<td>45,800</td>
<td>33,198</td>
<td>45,800</td>
<td>17,657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Staffing services</td>
<td></td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>24,985</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>20,084</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>9,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MSR/SOI</td>
<td></td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>11,732</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>12,428</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>8,431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Applications</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,839</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Legal Counsel</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Web Service</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications &amp; Legal Notices</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation &amp; Travel</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>2756</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrib to Non LAF Agency</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Out</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL Expenditures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$44,900</td>
<td>$44,900</td>
<td>$42,921</td>
<td>$49,900</td>
<td>$34,951</td>
<td>$49,900</td>
<td>$18,865</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operating Difference**  
$ (16,900) $ (16,900) $ (12,584) $ (16,900) $ (6,989) $ (16,900) $ 12,100  
*(Negative Balance Indicates Use of Reserves)*

## FUND BALANCE/RESERVES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beginning Year Fund Balance</th>
<th>FY 2019/20</th>
<th>FY 2020/21</th>
<th>FY 2021/22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$109,160</td>
<td>$96,576</td>
<td>$89,587</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assigned/Designated Reserve Funds:**  
- Litigation Defense: $60,000  
- Special Legal Counsel: $10,000  
- Unanticipated Sp. Studies: $20,000

**Ending Year Fund Balance**  
$90,000  
$96,576  
$89,587

---

*Packet Page 40*
Hi Colette;

So with the caveat that bills are still being introduced, it would appear that there are no bills currently with improving or changing AB 361. According to the Sacramento office, the author of AB 361 would like to see the provisions implemented and used for awhile before coming back and making changes.

Having said that, the Sacramento office also noted that Government Code 54954(e) within the Brown Act states:

“(e) If, by reason of fire, flood, earthquake, or other emergency, it shall be unsafe to meet in the place designated, the meetings shall be held for the duration of the emergency at the place designated by the presiding officer of the legislative body or his or her designee in a notice to the local media that have requested notice pursuant to Section 54956, by the most rapid means of communication available at the time.”

Not sure if that provision would help you out at all with the situation that occurred last month. Let me know what else I can find for you, or if this was/was not helpful to you.

Thanks!

Chris Norden
Public Affairs Field Coordinator

-----Original Message-----
From: Colette Santsche <colette@trinitylafco.org>
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2022 3:36 PM
To: Christopher Norden <chrism@csda.net>
Subject: Re: Remote Meeting Legislation

Thanks Chris! Let me know if you hear anything. My next Commission meeting is February 1st and I’m hoping to give them an update.

Have a great weekend!

---
Colette Santsche
Trinity LAFCo
707-825-8260

On 2022-01-13 11:11, Christopher Norden wrote:
Hi Colette - I apologize, this email was in my junk folder! I just found it this morning.

Let me check with our Sacramento office and see what we are working on currently with this. And if not, how we can help move this along for you.

I will follow up with you as soon as I can.

Sorry again!

Chris Norden
Northern California Public Affairs Field Coordinator

Check out the 2022 CSDA Professional Development Catalog!

California Special Districts Association
1112 I Street, Suite 200
Sacramento, CA 95814
916.442.7887 office
916.442.7889 fax
www.csdanet

A Proud California Special Districts Alliance Partner.
California Special Districts Association Special District Risk Management Authority CSDA Finance Corporation

-----Original Message-----
From: Colette Santsche <colette@trinitylafco.org>
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2022 2:52 PM
To: Christopher Norden <chris@csda.net>
Subject: Remote Meeting Legislation

Hi Chris,

I wanted to check in with you or someone at CSDA about remote meeting legislation. Trinity LAFCo has been attempting to proceed with hybrid in-person and/or remote meetings in accordance with AB 361. We had a situation last meeting where we agendized a hybrid meeting, but then winter storms made it virtually impossible for commissioners to attend in-person. It is my understanding that AB 361’s provisions can only be used in the event that a gubernatorial state of emergency has been issued and remains active.

I know there are efforts to make permanent revisions to the Brown Act for remote meetings and CSDA will be deeply involved in these conversations in Sacramento. I am wondering if there are any discussions or interest in expanding “health and safety” qualifying events to assist local agencies with continuing their critical operations despite facing emergencies that pose a risk to human health and safety – emergencies which oftentimes correspond with local or regional advisory or mandatory evacuation orders (e.g., wildfires, earthquakes, gas leaks, etc.) or extreme storm events.

If so, Trinity LAFCo would like to submit a letter of support to include broader health and safety related qualifying events into future Brown Act remote meeting legislation. Is this something that CSDA would entertain or have suggestions on how this topic can be passed along?

Let me know if you're interested in chatting more.
Colette Santsche
Trinity LAFCo
707-825-8260